

Date: Friday, April 05, 2019 Statistics: 738 words Plagiarized / 2794 Total words Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series PAPER • OPEN ACCESS Analysis Of Benefit Cost Car Ownership Program For Employee In The General Services Agency To cite this article: Budi Wahyu Mahardhika et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1114 012123 View the article online for updates and enhancements. This content was downloaded from IP address 180.251.112.82 on 01/04/2019 at 13:29 IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf.

Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 Analysis Of Benefit Cost Car Ownership Program For Employee In The General Services Agency Budi Wahyu Mahardhika1, Amandus Jong Tallo2*, Dwi Ernawati Susilo3 and Wisnu Mahendri Bahri4 1Department of Management, University of Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Indonesia 2Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Podomoro University, Jakarta, Indonesia 3STIE PGRI Dewantara Jombang, Indonesia 4Students in Magister Management Program, Universitas Merdeka Malang, Indonesia * amandus.tallo@podomorouniversity.ac.id Abstract. Welfare is a person's primary interest in working. Ownership of vehicles is one indicator of the achievement of one's welfare.

Organizations that are able to assist their employees to achieve these welfare indicators have the potential to have a positive impact on both employees and management teams as well as for the organization. Car ownership program (COP) is an effort to strengthen the slice of goals and interests between organizations in this case BLU with all the management and employees.

Employees and management are expected to be more prosperous. Together, the organization will also benefit from better employees and management performance.The results of the data analysis found the ratio of benefits to the cost of 1.20 and NPV of Rp 1 779 550 563. While the survey showed that 93.3% of respondents interested in following the COP, 80% of respondents strongly agree that the COP is very profitable employees, and 80% respondents strongly agree that the COP shows the BLU's attention to its employees.

Analysis of the data indicates that the use of COP programs is feasible and effective enough and is viewed positively by employees of official car users. 1. Introduction Welfare is one's main purpose and importance in working. Similarly, the employees and management of the Public Service Agency (BLU) in Indonesia. Ownership of vehicles is one indicator of the achievement of one's welfare.

The organizations that are able to help their employees to achieve these welfare indicators have the potential to generate positive impacts for employees / team management / organization. Growing evidence demonstrates the importance of transportation in improving family economic well being[1]. The car ownership program, further called COP, is an effort to strengthen objective slices and interests between organizations, in this case BLU with all the management and employees.

Employees and management are increasingly able to achieve the expected welfare objectives, as well as with organizations will benefit from increased employee and management performance, The research on the effects of car ownership on work has created a positive correlation between the two[2]. COP is a reward or facility to a company employee in the form of soft loans which is generally a private car Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any <mark>further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.</mark> Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 ownership with a long loan term (5 years or more). Car ownership program is generally without down payment.

Typically, some mortgages are borne by the company and some are borne by employees with a composition of 70:30 or 60:40. As a COP program it certainly has both financial and nonfinancial consequences. During this time, BLU uses lease scheme for operational vehicle needs. Therefore, BLU needs to make a review to decide whether COP can be implemented or not. 2.

Methodology In this study, the problem to be studied is in the form of open-ended questions and thus will use descriptive qualitative research method (non statistics) that is case study research. The calculation and data analysis is done by cost-benefit method, where cost-benefit simulation is done for 5 years. Then, calculation of sensitivity analysis done to obtain net present benefit (NPV) and Profitability Index (PI).

When the simulation results produce output where the eligibility requirements if PI> 1, then COP is feasible to do. After the analysis and calculation is done, a descriptive study was conducted on the result of the popular consultation. This is necessary because cost benefit analysis can be complicated by human factors affecting cost and benefit assessments[3].

The results of research are poured in some conclusions that answer the research question. 2.1. Net Present Value Method Net present value (NPV) is another common way of conducting CBA[4]. Net present value (NPV) is the net value of a project representing all the value of the project benefits deducted by the project cost in the value and calculated by the applicable discount rate.

If the NPV <0 then the investment made can cause losses, and if NPV = 0 then the investment made will not cause the company to be a profit or loss. The Calculations are:

?1 ?2 _???

 $?????? = -?0 + 1 + ?? + with: _1 + ?? 2 + + (1 + ??)??$

2.2. Profitability Index Method _NPV = Net Present Value C0 = Initial cost C = Cash flow value of the year to- T = Year 0.1,2

T <mark>r =</mark> Value discount

The Profitability Index (PI) method, often used in evaluating an investment or in addition to validating the evaluation results that have been done with other methods. This method is very well used for an investment in government projects that have a direct impact on the wider community. The profitability index (PI), which is occasionally called benefit-cost ratio, provides the relative profitability of a project. If the PI is greater than 1.0

it is acceptable, and the higher the PI, the higher the project should be ranked when compared to other possible investments[4]. This is the PI formula: ?????? ????? = ?????? ? With: PI = Profitability Index NPV B = Net Present Value - Benefit NPV C = Net Present Value - Cost 2.3. Cost Benefit Analysis The cost-benefit comparison method, also called Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA), The CBA methodology is the most commonly used approach for economic appraisal of public decision-making in transport infrastructure appraisal [5] and this method is also used in addition to validating the

IOP Conf.

Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 results of evaluations that have been made with other methods. Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) is used to explain an individual's behavioral decision. Before an individual makes a choice, he/she compares and weighs anticipated costs and benefits involving the alternative.

If perceived costs are balanced by perceived benefits, the individual will be likely to engage in the alternative[6]. The steps taken in analyzing the efficiency of a program through CBA is to determine all the benefits and costs of the program to be implemented. The next stage calculates the benefits and costs in the value of money, and is continued by calculating each of the benefits and costs in the present value of money.

The output of this method can be taken into consideration to be chosen or not in a decision making. 3. Result and Discussion 3.1. Cost-Benefit Analysis results The results of data collection are made projected cost savings of vehicle rental, projection of car maintenance cost savings, projected savings on employee installment contribution and cost savings on risk management for 5 years starting from 2018 until 2022.

Then for the projection and calculation of margin benefit from the cost aspect of COP installment, the vehicle registration certificate (STNK) renewal tax and risk management fee are shown as fees incurred from the COP program. Table 1. Project Saving From COP

Discussion _2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 (Million Rupiah)

Income Car Rental Cost Savings a. Honda City All New E CVT b.

Toyota All New Kijang Innova V A/T Diesel _138 410 _138 4

Toyota All New Kijang Innova f. Honda HRV CVT Prestige 1.8

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 g. Toyota All New Fortuner 4x22 4 G AT Diesel 142 142 142 142 142 Total Benefits from Employee _ _ Installment Contribution _583 _583 _583 _583 _583 _ Total Income _ 3.351 _ 3.351 _ 3.351 _ 3.351 _ 3.351 _ Projected COP costs can be seen that the total cost has increased from year to year.

This is due to rising costs, <mark>including the cost of risk management</mark> by 5% with a 10% increase each year and maintenance costs 15% each year. Table 2. Costs Arising from The COP

Spending _ Discussion _2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 (Million Rupiah)

Assumption of COP Program Installment Charges a. Honda City <mark>All New E CVT</mark> b. <mark>Toyota All New Kijang Innova V A/T Diesel</mark> _95 329 _95 329 _95 329 _95 329 _95 329 _ c.

Toyota All New Kijang Innova _ _ _ _ V M/T Diesel _122 _122 _122 _122 _122 _ _d. Honda New CR-V 2.0 AT e. Honda HRV CVT Prestige 1.8 AT _625 198 _625 198 _625 198 _625 198 _625 198 _625 198 _ f. Honda HRV CVT Prestige 1.8 AT New 101 101 101 101 101 g. Toyota All New Fortuner 4x22 Then it is seen that the difference between income (austerity post) and expenditure (cost item) has increased from year to year.

This amount <mark>is also</mark> increasing accumulatively. So <mark>at the end of</mark> 2022 obtained <mark>the difference</mark> in total cost of benefits of Rp. 2 751 300 419.

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 Table 3. Simulation of car rental savings on COP cost

Discussion _2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 (Million Rupiah)

Income Car Rental Cost Savings _ 2.768 _ 2.768 _ 2.768 _ 2.768 _ 2.768 _ _Saving Contribution of Employee _ _ _ _ _ Installment (30% Assumption) _583 _583 _583 _583 _583 _ Total Income _3.351 _3.351 _3.351 _3.351 _3.351 _ Spending Assumption of COP Program _ _ _ _ Installment Charges _1.943 _1.943 _1.943 _1.943 _1.943 _ Car Care Cost Assumption (15%) _503 _503 _503 _503 _503 _ Administration (15%) _36 _ _ _ _ _ Tax Expense Assumption STNK _ _ _ _ Extension (2%) _143 _143 _143 _143 _143 _143 _ _ Cost of Risk Management 5% _ _ _ _ (Increase 10% per year) _167 _184 _203 _223 _ 245 _ _ Total Spending _2.792 _2.773 _2.792 _2.812 _2.834 _ _ Rest _559 _578 _559 _539 _ 517 _ _Gap _559 _1.137 _1.696 _2.235 _2.752 _ _ To obtain a comprehensive comparison, the benefits of improving employee performance are shown in Table 4.1 as follows: Table 4.

Simulation of changing conditions when benefits fall and costs rise Changing Condition

No Discussion Normal Condition _2,5% *(Million Rp) _5% (Million Rp) _7,5% (Million Rp) _10% Million Rp)

1. Benefit Down a. Net Present Value (NPV) _1.780 _1.510 _1.240 _971 _701 _ b. Profitability Index (PI) _1.20 _1.17 _1.14 _1.11 _1.08 _ 2. Cost Rising a. Net Present Value (NPV) _1.780 _1.554 _1.329 _1.104 _879 _ b. Profitability Index (PI) _1.20 _1.17 _1.14 _1.11 _1.09 _ _*for NPV _ _ _ _ From the table above it is known that NPV is positive. Under normal conditions the value of the benefit to cost ratio is 1.20 which means that this activity is feasible. If there is a decrease in benefits or cost increase feasibility is still achieved. 3.2.

The Results of the Popular Consultation The poll was followed by 15 respondents with the majority of respondents' sexes were men 86.7% and women 13.3%. The age range of respondents was 38 years. Respondents mostly worked in the evaluation and monitoring division (13.3%). From the results of the survey and the questionnaire filling obtained information that the type of official cars most widely used by respondents is Toyota Fortuner (20%) and Honda-CRV (13.3%).As many as 60% of respondents have owned private cars IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf.

Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 and 90% of respondents have 1 unit of car. Also known 40% of respondents who already have a private car still bear the mortgage. 93.3% of respondents agreed with this COP. They also argue that COP benefits employees rather than rental cars. COP is also long awaited by BLU employees with 60% of respondents agree.

As many as 80% of respondents agree that COP is a form of BLU attention to its employees. Despite monthly income cuts for COP installments, 86.7% of respondents agreed. In the terms of monthly transportation cost savings of employees, as many as 80% declare their approval. And this COP will increase the loyalty of BLU employees with the number of respondents agree as much as 86.7%.

However, the performance of BLU employees will not be affected by the presence or absence of COP programs expressed by 66.7% of respondents. 53.3% of respondents strongly agree that the existence of COP will improve the performance of BLU as an institution. As many as 66.7% of BP3TI employees strongly agree that without any COP, BLU needs to have superior performance. With COP, 53.3% of BLU employees strongly agree that they will be more enthusiastic to work.

Employees agree that COP will be able to improve employee welfare supported by 100% approval of respondents. 3.3. Discussion An Analysis of research results obtained from the analysis of cost-benefit analysis of COP in BLU are: 1. The scheme of COP scheme in BLU is as follows: 1) Terms of COP recipients are permanent employees with minimum service life and good performance, 2) BLU selects 3rd party (Bank) to finance car purchase for COP program employees; 3) Term COP time is 5 years (60 months), 4) The amount of COP ceiling corresponds to the official level and 5) If there is an excess of the cost of purchasing the car to be the responsibility of the COP recipient employee. 2.

The cost consequence of the COP scheme is that BLU will bear the car financing cost of 70%, while the employee will bear 30% financing fee to the bank. Costs incurred during the COP period, such as vehicle maintenance costs, renewal fees for vehicle registration, vehicle insurance costs including backlinks the name (at the end of the COP period) should be set forth in the agreement clause. 3.

The consequences of the risks that accompany the scheme change are still safe to simulate the 10% down benefit and the 10% up cost, the financial consequence of COP is the increase in car maintenance costs and risk management costs in the COP period that can be greater than when renting. However, based on financially simulated it is

known that NPV is positive, that is Rp.

1,779,550,563, - which means that the project is feasible and beneficial to the BLU and the replacement scheme is feasible in cost and benefit. 4. Conclusion Based on the results of a cost-benefit feasibility study and an opinion poll to employees for employee car ownership (COP) program at BLU, the program is feasible. This policy is still new and should keep the BLU in a conservative risk management References [1] C. N. Fletcher, S. B. Garasky, and R. B.

Nielsen, "Transportation hardship: Are you better off with a car?," J. Fam. Econ. Issues, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 323–343, 2005. [2] T. Gurley and D. Bruce, "The effects of car access on employment outcomes for welfare recipients," J. Urban Econ., vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 250–272, 2005. [3] H. F. Cervone, "Using cost benefit analysis to justify digital library projects," OCLC Syst. Serv. Int. Digit. Libr. Perspect., vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 76–79, 2010. [4] M.

Linn, "Cost-benefit analysis: examples," Bottom Line, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 68–72, 2011. [5] K. Shaton and A. Hervik, "Economic appraisal in the upstream gas transport sector," Impact Assess. Proj. Apprais., vol. 00, no. Juni, pp. 1–12, 2018. [6] Y. W. Chang and P. Y. Hsu, "An empirical investigation of organizations' switching intention

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Ser1i2e3s 415161748(9200'1'8")"012123 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1114/1/012123 to cloud enterprise resource planning: a cost-benefit perspective," Inf. Dev., vol. X, no. XX, pp. 1–13, 2017.

INTERNET SOURCES:

<1% - http://webs.ucm.es/BUCM/intranet/doc11644.xls

<1% -

https://www.bing.com/aclick?ld=e3-m9FzvCyzFh3ngebsG6NMzVUCUzMtpbWfLsquL3ec PIPoXxe3pGO52c0qV4ZDFFojhpcFUcvDVqjzzdcMPB2JQi8bQmAmItD0pzoDcG7aXWpVq ngaUzAx0ouy8pPxUBRIvO5ICM1jiT8L7LJbEUQUcoYMWY&u=aHR0cHMIM2EIMmYIMmZ 3d3cuYWdvZGEuY29tJTJmcGFydG5lcnMlMmZwYXJ0bmVyc2VhcmNoLmFzcHglM2ZkZXZ pY2UIM2RjJTI2bmV0d29yayUzZG8lMjZhZGlkJTNkJTdiY3JIYXRpdmUIN2QIMjZyYW5kJTN kJTdicmFuZG9tJTdkJTl2ZXhwaWQlM2QlN2JhY2VpZCU3ZCUyNmFkcG9zJTNkJTdiYWRwb 3NpdGlvbiU3ZCUyNmNpdHklM2Q1MTMwNTclMjZzaXRlX2lkJTNkMTc0NDU3OCUyNnR hZyUzZGM0ZjkyZTAwLTA4NzqtYTFjMi1kNjE1LTqxN2IxNzY3ZDQ0NyUyNiUyNnVybCUzZ Gh0dHBzJTI1M0EIMjUyRiUyNTJGd3d3LmFnb2RhLmNvbSUyNTJGcGFnZXMIMjUyRmFnb 2RhJTI1MkZkZWZhdWx0JTI1MkZEZXN0aW5hdGlvblNlYXJjaFJlc3VsdC5hc3B4JTI1M0ZjaX R5JTI1M0Q1MTMwNTcIMjZtc2Nsa2lkJTNkJTdibXNjbGtpZCU3ZCUyNnV0bV9zb3VyY2UI M2RiaW5nJTI2dXRtX21IZGI1bSUzZGNwYyUyNnV0bV9jYW1wYWInbiUzZEludGVudCUyN TIwRW5naW5IJTI1MjAtJTI1MjAzMzMIMjZ1dG1fdGVybSUzZCUyNTJCam9tYmFuZyUyNTI wJTI1MkJpbmRvbmVzaWEIMjZ1dG1fY29udGVudCUzZGIuZG9uZXNpYSUyNTdDY2kIMjU zQWpvbWJhbmdfNTEzMDU3&rlid=e5adc99935ca1fd66669a953f8239d0cb <1% - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/South_African_property_law

<1% - https://essay.utwente.nl/62260/1/Daisy-master_thesis.pdf 1% -

https://www.valuescentre.com/sites/default/files/uploads/article_building_a_winning_org anizational_culture.pdf

2% -

http://www.bwmahardhika.com/analysis-of-benefit-cost-car-ownership-program-for-em ployee-in-the-general-services-agency/

<1% - http://www.irmbrjournal.com/papers/1495455084.pdf <1% -

https://www.popularmechanics.com/technology/gadgets/a6520/confessions-of-an-appl e-store-employee/

<1% - http://people.duke.edu/~munger/Anpol.htm

<1% - http://www.ilo.org/public/english/standards/relm/ilc/ilc91/rep-iv-1.htm

<1% - https://www.empowerdex.com/images/Documents/Summary2013NewCodes.pdf

<1% - https://quizlet.com/87353927/mgmt-chapter-14-leadership-flash-cards/

<1% - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3178190/ 1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222814621_The_effects_of_car_access_on_em ployment_outcomes_for_welfare_recipients

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24187181_Leader-Follower_Values_Congruen ce_Are_Socialized_Charismatic_Leaders_Better_Able_to_Achieve_It

<1% -

http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/IW3P/IB/2000/02/2 4/000009265_3971023104034/additional/114514322_20041117145034.pdf <1% -

https://www.cgap.org/sites/default/files/CGAP-Technical-Guide-Commercial-Loan-Agre ements-Oct-2006.pdf

1% - https://html5up.net/license

1% - https://www.academia.edu/18915059/1742-6596_572_1_012025

<1% - https://www.calculator.net/rent-vs-buy-calculator.html

<1% -

https://treasury.govt.nz/resources/2014-investment-statement-managing-crowns-balan ce-sheet

<1% -

https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=9bd47625-4134-4189-b09e-18da076ba e3f

<1% - https://www.thesaurus.com/browse/implemented

<1% - https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4194943/

<1% - https://www.studymode.com/subjects/cost-benefit-analysis-for-hris-page1.html <1% -

https://www.investopedia.com/walkthrough/corporate-finance/4/npv-irr/net-present-val ue.aspx

<1% - https://www.mathworks.com/help/comm/ug/digital-modulation.html <1% -

http://www.researchconsultation.com/how-to-calcuate-sample-size-power-analysis-help .asp

1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220418613_Using_cost_benefit_analysis_to_jus tify_digital_library_projects

<1% - https://quizlet.com/86952067/research-exam-1-chapters-1-356-flash-cards/

<1% - https://www.investopedia.com/terms/n/npv.asp

<1% - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Net_present_value

1% - https://allspecialcoupons.com/applicable-discount-rate/

<1% - http://www.fao.org/3/W4343E/w4343e07.htm

<1% - https://www.coursehero.com/file/31373809/Xr02-51xlsx/

<1% - http://www3.nccu.edu.tw/~konan/BFM/notes/Ch09_6.pdf

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232275895_Application_of_Investment_Appraisal_Techniques_in_Kanchipuram_Modern_Rice_Mill

<1% -

http://www.umsl.edu/~sauterv/analysis/F08papers/Katimuneetorn_Feasibility_Study.htm |

1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235287660_Cost-benefit_analysis_Examples <1% - https://shidafzan.blogspot.com/2015/01/financial-management-chapter-11.html <1% -

https://booksforstudy.com/13500826/ekonomika/metodi_zasnovani_diskontovanih_otsi nkah_npv_irr_dpp.htm

<1% - https://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3389&context=dlj <1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229071275_Applied_Cost-Benefit_Analysis

<1% - https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/hec.4730010403

<1% - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rational_choice_theory_(criminology)

<1% - https://academic.oup.com/esr/advance-article/doi/10.1093/esr/jcy022/5056128

<1% - http://www.sjsu.edu/faculty/watkins/cba.htm

<1% - https://www.academia.edu/32362875/Cost-Effectiveness_and_Educational_Policy <1% -

http://www.cbra.org.br/pages/publicacoes/rbra/v35n2/RB358%20McManus%20pag69-7 6.pdf

<1% -

https://uncareer.net/vacancy/consultancy-financial-cost-benefit-analyst-final-evaluation -121557

<1% - https://www.govinfo.gov/bulkdata/FR/2009/08/FR-2009-08-11.xml <1% -

https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2018/12/31/2018-28359/medicare-program -clinical-laboratory-improvement-amendments-of-1988-clia-fees

<1% - http://www.autoline.tv/podcasts/feeds/autoline-daily-video.xml

<1% - https://dealertoyotabanten.blogspot.com/

<1% - https://rentalmobiljakarta-online.blogspot.com/2016/04/

<1% - https://www.scribd.com/document/94683649/Annual-Report-2009

<1% - https://www.academia.edu/34419389/978-3-330-03555-3.pdf

<1% -

http://www.questdiagnostics.com/dms/Documents/PLS/35841-FIN-WP-Hospital_Lab_M

anagement-WP4289.pdf

<1% - https://www.ssa.gov/budget/FY19Files/2019CJ.xml

<1% - https://id.priceprice.com/Honda-HR-V-7889/

<1% -

https://www.mobil123.com/mobil-dijual/indonesia_jawa-timur_surabaya?page_number= 7&page_size=25

<1% - https://issuu.com/vapormatic/docs/vapormatic_batteries

<1% -

http://www.ansc.ntu.edu.tw/ALUMNI/photo/pic.php?CID=3&Album_ID=7&Photo_ID=19 5

<1% - https://edoc.site/gas-conditioning-and-processing-campbell-pdf-free.html

<1% - https://www.scribd.com/doc/283300598/Laporan-Pt-Pertamina-2014

<1% -

https://epdf.tips/investment-management-a-modern-guide-to-security-analysis-and-sto ck-selection.html

- <1% https://vdocuments.site/transnet-annual-report-2003-2004.html
- <1% https://www.ems.psu.edu/~radovic/Chapter4.pdf
- <1% https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0047272781900220
- <1% https://www.scribd.com/doc/66679423/Additional-Solved-Problems
- <1% https://www.scribd.com/document/254052758/AnnualReport2007-08
- <1% https://diagnprognres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s41512-017-0017-y
- <1% http://web.mit.edu/15.053/www/AMP-Chapter-03.pdf

<1% -

https://jobsplus.gov.mt/resources/publication-statistics-mt-mt-en-gb/publications/resea rch-publications/fileprovider.aspx?fileId=1236

<1% -

https://bmcpregnancychildbirth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2393-10-14 <1% -

https://www.slideshare.net/ashishgupta96199344/a-study-on-customer-satisfaction-wit h-special-refrence-to-mahindra-mahindra

- <1% https://isindexing.com/isi/searchedpapers.php?page=25650&limit=50
- <1% https://issuu.com/euroweeklynews/docs/1747-axarquia
- <1% https://issuu.com/biznespolska/docs/bizpolandmag_jan_2013_final
- <1% https://www.incilento.it/listings/approdothalassospa/

<1% -

http://www.instruction.greenriver.edu/dhallstone/Courses/Math%20256%20documents/ Review%20for%20Final%20Spring%202008.doc

- <1% https://www.digchip.com/companies_news/2013/2013_04_16.php
- <1% http://hcibib.org/HFS92-1
- <1% http://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-6596/1114/1

<1% - http://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00079944/00044

<1% -

https://medium.com/@gregoryksteward/we-cant-get-no-satisfaction-61f990ac7440 <1% -

https://www.academia.edu/4310380/MOTIVATION_AND_EMPLOYEE_PERFORMANCE_IN _ORGANISATIONS_A_CASE_STUDY_BISHOP_STUART_UNIVERSITY_

<1% -

https://www.osti.gov/biblio/5904421-cost-analysis-support-minimum-energy-standards -air-conditioners-heat-pumps-dehumidifiers

<1% - https://ijacod.org/spip.php?article423

<1% - https://www.usg.edu/business_procedures_manual/section5/C1235

<1% - http://car-insurance-companies.us.com/

<1% - https://www.mitsubishi-chips.com/

1% - https://www.pacode.com/secure/data/049/chapter35/s35.332.html

<1% - http://wps.prenhall.com/wps/media/objects/331/339948/cases01_15.doc

<1% - http://www.fao.org/3/T0579E/t0579e05.htm

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287430562_The_Discounted_Payback_in_Inves tment_Appraisal_A_Case_Study

<1% - https://quizlet.com/53588388/computer-vocab-flash-cards/

<1% - https://uk.reuters.com/resources/archive/uk/20140331.html

<1% - https://issuu.com/formacionaxarquia/docs/january_2011

<1% -

http://ebour.com.ar/index.php?option=search&searchword=Wajih%20Shiraz%20A

<1% - https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/otps/nextgen_stats/chap11.cfm

<1% - https://www.slideshare.net/libfsb/12cost-benefit-analysis

<1% -

https://mafiadoc.com/a-framework-for-cloud-based-e-government-from-the-mdpi_5ba bbb42097c472f5d8b473b.html